

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,596	03/31/2004	David D. Shipp	03-EDP-303	5871
75	90 07/19/2006		EXAMINER	
Martin J. Moran, Esquire Eaton Electrical, Inc. Technology & Quality Center			HOANG, ANN THI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ive, RIDC Pare West		2836	
Pittsburgh, PA	15275-1032		DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			OD.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,596	SHIPP ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ann T. Hoang	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	5
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become a	ICATION. I reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 April 2006.	,	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	•		its is ,
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) 16 and 21-25 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-14,17-20 and 26-29 is/are rejective 7) Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration. ted.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ o the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No on received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· <del></del>	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	/	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The objection to the disclosure is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the specification filed 18 April 2006.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12, 14, 17, 18, 20 and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitts et al. (US 4,866,557) in view of Papallo et al. (US 2003/0231440).

Regarding claim 1, Fitts et al. discloses a method of adjusting a specified trip function for responding to a fault for a circuit breaker (5) in a low voltage power circuit. The parameters of the specified trip function being adjusted include pickup current and time delay, which are adjustable between several specified settings ranging from lower to higher values via adjustment knobs (32, 34, 42, 36, 44, 38, 46). See Figs. 1 and 13; column 2, lines 7-23; column 3, lines 41-46; column 5, lines 33-39 and 66-68; column 6, lines 1-2; and column 7, lines 11-16. Fitts et al. does not disclose the method to be for providing protection against arc flash during maintenance.

However, Papallo et al. discloses a low voltage power circuit (10) including a circuit breaker (415) with adjustable time delay, and also discloses that minimizing the time delay of circuit breaker (415) is desirable in order to reduce arc energy exposure of operating and service personnel during maintenance. See page 7, paragraph 80. Additionally, it is well known and expedient in the art that a larger current flowing through a circuit breaker at the time of tripping results in a larger let-thru energy, or arc flash, and that a smaller current results in lesser arc flash. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the method of adjusting a specified trip function with parameters of pickup current and time delay for a circuit breaker, as disclosed by Fitts et al, with the method of minimizing arc flash during maintenance, as disclosed by Papallo et al., in order to provide a safer working environment for maintenance personnel. The lower settings for pickup current and time delay on the adjustment knobs of Fitts et al. would be the parameters of a maintenance trip function, selected during maintenance to override higher settings, which would be the parameters for a specified trip function during non-maintenance or other operations calling for higher settings. Selecting the maintenance trip function with lower parameter settings would result in reduced arc flash during tripping, as discussed above. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to restore the specified trip function following maintenance in order to resume the operation of the circuit breaker in the state in which it had functioned before maintenance, during normal operations calling for higher settings.

Regarding claim 2, Fitts et al. discloses that the specified trip function comprises a specified pickup current and a specified time delay. See column 2, lines 7-23. The combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that overriding the specified trip function comprises reducing at least one of the specified pickup current and the specified time delay, as mentioned above. See above rejection on claim 1.

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that overriding the specified trip function comprises reducing both the specified pickup current and the specified time delay, as mentioned above. See above rejection on claim 1.

Regarding claim 4, Fitts et al. discloses that the specified trip function comprises implementing one or more of an instantaneous trip function, a short delay trip function and a ground fault trip function. See columns 5-6 and column 7, lines 11-16.

Overriding the specified trip function would comprise overriding each trip function implemented, as reducing the pickup current and delay time settings for each trip function would be required to reduce arc flash associated with every trip function. See above rejection on claim 1.

Regarding claim 5, Fitts et al. discloses that the short delay trip function is implemented with a specified short delay pickup current and a specified short delay time delay. See column 5, lines 54-68 and column 6, lines 1-2. The combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that overriding the specified trip function comprises eliminating the specified short delay time delay, as this value is overridden by a reduced

time delay associated with the maintenance trip function, as discussed above. See above rejections on claims 1 and 4.

Regarding claim 6, Fitts et al. discloses that the short delay trip function is implemented with a specified short delay pickup current and a specified short delay time delay. These specifications are set by a user via adjustment knobs (34, 42). See Fig. 13; column 5, lines 54-68; column 6, lines 1-2; and column 7, lines 11-16. The combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that overriding the specified trip function comprises reducing the specified short delay pickup current, as discussed above. See above rejections on claims 1 and 4.

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that overriding the specified trip function further comprises reducing specified short time delay, as mentioned above. See above rejections on claims 1 and 4.

Regarding claim 8, Fitts et al. discloses that the instantaneous trip function is implemented with a specified instantaneous pickup current. This specification is set by a user via knob (32). See Fig. 13; column 5, lines 25-44; and column 7, lines 11-16. The combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that overriding the specified trip function comprises reducing the specified instantaneous pickup current, as discussed above. See above rejections on claims 1 and 4.

Regarding claim 9, Fitts et al. discloses that the ground fault trip function is implemented with a specified ground fault pickup current. This specification is set by a user via knob (38). See Fig. 13; column 6, lines 45-62; and column 7, lines 11-16. The combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that overriding the specified trip

Art Unit: 2836

function comprises reducing the specifed ground fault pickup current, as discussed above. See above rejections on claims 1 and 4.

Regarding claim 10, Fitts et al. discloses that the ground fault trip function has a ground fault time delay. See column 6, lines 63-68. The combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that overriding the specified trip function further includes reducing the ground fault time delay, as discussed above. See above rejections on claims 1 and 4.

Regarding claim 11, Fitts et al. discloses pickup current and time delay adjustment knobs (32, 34, 42, 36, 44, 38, 46) to each have a plurality of settings, at least three per knob. See Fig. 13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the two lowest settings of each adjustment knob provide two different low levels of each pickup current and time delay in order to provide a plurality of maintenance trip functions for differing maintenance operations. Overriding the specified trip function, associated with a higher setting, with a maintenance trip function, associated with the lowest settings, would comprise selecting one of a first maintenance trip function, associated with the lowest setting on each adjustment knob, that results in a first level of arc energy in the fault during a trip that is less than the arc energy resulting from the specified trip function, and a second maintenance trip function, associated with the second lowest setting on each adjustment knob, that results in a second level of arc energy in the fault that is more than the first level of arc energy but less than the arc energy resulting from the specified trip function.

Regarding claim 12, Papallo et al. discloses that low voltage circuit (10) is multiphase. See Fig. 1 and page 2, paragraph 26. The reference also discloses that the system (26) includes protection and control schemes that consider the value of phase signals at the circuit breakers (14). See page 2, paragraph 29. It is well known and expedient in the art to trip circuit breakers in response to a current imbalance between the phases of a multiphase system, therefore it is understood that the circuit breakers (14) of Papallo et al. are tripped in response to a current imbalance in the multiple phases. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the maintenance trip function mentioned above to cause a trip in response to a current imbalance in the multiple phases in order to protect the maintenance personnel from safety hazards resulting from phase current imbalance.

Regarding claim 14, Papallo et al. discloses that system (26) incorporates a jumpered zone interlock providing a specified delay for circuit breaker (415). See page 1, paragraph 7 and page 7, paragraph 80. The combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that overriding the specified trip function comprises eliminating the specified delay and replacing it with a delay associated with the maintenance trip function by adjusting adjustment knobs (42, 44, 46), as mentioned above. See above rejection on claim 1.

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that the specified trip function is overridden by substituting the maintenance trip function for the specified trip function by adjusting adjustment knobs (32, 34, 42, 36, 44, 38, 46)

from a higher setting to a lower setting, as discussed above. See above rejection on claim 1. Adjustment knobs (32, 34, 42, 36, 44, 38, 46) retain the parameter values of the higher settings associated with the specified trip function, and these would be restored following maintenance, as normal operation requires higher settings.

Page 8

Regarding claim 18, Fitts et al. discloses a low voltage circuit breaker (5) in a protected low voltage power circuit comprising: separable contacts of circuit breaker (5); a current sensor (10) sensing current (I<sub>1</sub>) in the protected low voltage circuit; a trip unit (4) responsive to the current sensor (10) tripping open the separable contacts in response to a specified trip function; and adjustment means (32, 34, 42, 36, 44, 38, 46) overriding the specified trip function with an adjusted trip function that results in reduced pickup current and time delay of circuit breaker (5). Overriding the specified trip function with an adjusted trip function essentially comprises turning adjustment means (32, 34, 42, 36, 44, 38, 46) to lower settings. See Figs. 1 and 13; column 2, lines 7-23; column 3, lines 41-46; column 5, lines 33-39 and 66-68; column 6, lines 1-2; and column 7, lines 11-16. Fitts et al. does not disclose that the apparatus protects from arc flash resulting from faults or that the adjusted trip function results in reduced arc energy in the fault during a trip over arc energy during a trip with the specified trip function.

However, Papallo et al. discloses a low voltage power circuit (10) including a circuit breaker (415) with adjustable time delay, and also discloses that minimizing the time delay of circuit breaker (415) is desirable in order to reduce arc energy exposure of operating and service personnel during maintenance. See page 7, paragraph 80.

Additionally, it is well known and expedient in the art that a larger current flowing

through a circuit breaker at the time of tripping results in a larger let-thru energy, or arc flash, and that a smaller current results in lesser arc flash. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the adjustable low voltage circuit breaker of Fitts et al. to provide protection against arc flash resulting from faults by making the adjustment means into a maintenance means, the adjusting of which results in reduced arc energy in the fault during a trip over arc energy during a trip with the specified trip function when it is turned from the specified trip function, a higher setting, to a lower setting. This would provide the advantage of having a means to reduce pickup current and time delay settings for the circuit breaker, thus reducing the risk associated with arc flash during maintenance, and providing a safer working environment for maintenance personnel.

Regarding apparatus claim 20, the recited limitations would necessarily be provided in the performance of the above mentioned method of providing protection against arc flash. See above rejection on claim 11.

Regarding claim 26, Papallo et al. discloses that system (26) comprises a zone interlock. See page 1, paragraph 7 and page 7, paragraph 80. Fitts et al. discloses the specified trip function to include a short delay trip function with a short delay. See column 5, lines 66-68 and column 6, lines 1-2. The combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that the maintenance means open circuits the zone interlock and eliminates the short delay of the short delay trip function, as initiating the maintenance means constitutes overriding the specified trip function and eliminating the short delay of the short delay trip function and eliminating the short delay,

Page 10

which results in open circuiting the zone interlock. See above rejections on claims 1 and 18.

Regarding claim 27, claim 27 corresponds to claim 18, wherein the maintenance mechanism of claim 27 corresponds to the maintenance means of claim 18. Claim 27 is therefore rejected under the same reasoning as that of claim 18. See above rejection.

Regarding claim 28. Fitts et al. discloses that the specified trip function of said trip unit is structured to provide at least one of an instantaneous trip function and a ground fault trip function. See columns 5-6 and column 7, lines 11-16. The combination with Papallo et al. described above would provide a structure to override each of said at least one of an instantaneous trip function and a ground fault trip function, in particular the instantaneous trip function. See above rejection on claim 1.

Regarding claim 29, claim 29 corresponds to claim 19 and is rejected under the same reasoning as that of claim 19. See above rejection.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitts et 4. al. (US 4,866,557) in view of Papallo et al. (US 2003/0231440), and further in view of Iriyama (JP 411155235). Neither Fitts et al. nor Papallo et al. disclose that the maintenance trip function causes a trip in response to a current reversal in the low voltage circuit. However, Iriyama discloses maintenance trip function in which a reverse current detecting circuit (1) that trips a circuit breaker (31) upon detecting a reverse current. See abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the reverse current detecting circuit of Iriyama in the method of providing protection against arc flash of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. in order

Art Unit: 2836

to reduce danger associated with reverse currents and increase safety for maintenance personnel, as disclosed by Iriyama.

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fitts et al. (US 4,866,557) in view of Papallo et al. (US 2003/0231440), and further in view of Morris (US 6,252,365). Fitts et al. discloses that maintenance means (32, 34, 42, 36, 44, 38, 46) comprises an adjustment knob that can be set between several parameter values. See Fig. 13 and column 5, lines 33-39. The combination of Fitts et al. and Papallo et al. provides that it is operative between a normal position selecting the specified trip function, associated with higher settings for pickup current and time delay, and a maintenance position selecting the maintenance trip function, associated with low settings for pickup current and time delay. See above rejections on claims 1 and 18. Fitts et al. does not disclose a maintenance switch, as adjustment knobs are better suited for adjustment between several settings.

However, Morris et al. discloses a circuit breaker with varying interrupting levels and trip time delays, wherein a switch operative between the various interrupting levels and time delays is employed. See column 3, lines 40-47. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a switch to set the various interrupting levels and time delays of the circuit breaker, as disclosed by Morris et al., in place of the adjustment knobs of Fitts et al., in order to provide an adjustment means between a lesser number of settings. Furthermore, it is well known and expedient in the art to use a switch to control operation between a small number of settings.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,596 Page 12

Art Unit: 2836

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 15, prior art fails to teach that eliminating specified delay comprises open circuiting a jumpered zone interlock, in combination with the other limitations in the claim. While the prior art does teach using a jumpered zone interlock system in order to provide communication between upstream and downstream circuit breakers, the prior art does not teach that the condition of the jumpered zone interlock, that is whether it is open circuited, influences the time delay setting between a specified setting and a maintenance setting.

7. Claims 16 and 21-25 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 16, prior art fails to teach the method of providing protection against arc flash mentioned above, wherein overriding a specified trip function comprises providing an independent maintenance trip function in parallel with the specified trip function, in combination with the other limitations in the claim.

Regarding claims 21-25, prior art fails to teach the low voltage circuit breaker protecting from arc flash mentioned above, wherein a maintenance means comprises a

Art Unit: 2836

maintenance plug insertable in the circuit breaker to implement the maintenance trip function, in combination with the other limitations in the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 18 April 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's argument that Papallo et al. does not teach or suggest any minimizing the time delay of circuit breaker (415), but expressly teaches additional delay, that is, delaying the opening of circuit breaker (415) with a minimal additional delay, Examiner attests that the reference discloses applying the additional delay to an upstream circuit breaker when a downstream circuit breaker is unable to clear a fault, wherein the delay of the upstream circuit breaker is "additional" in relation to the separate, downstream circuit breaker. While the additional delay is necessary in clearing the fault, the reference does disclose that minimizing that delay results in reduced arc energy exposure to operating and service personnel during maintenance. This is expressly provided in paragraph 80, lines 13-16, which states: "... the minimal delay of the main-1 CB opening reduces the let-thru energy. This reduces system stress, damage and potential arc energy exposure to operating and service

personnel..." Thus, paragraph 80, lines 13-16 of Papallo et al. provides motivation to use the apparatus of Fitts et al. to minimize the delay of a circuit breaker in order to reduce arc energy exposure to operating and service personnel during maintenance.

Regarding Applicant's argument that the reference teaches away from overriding a specified trip function with a maintenance trip function that results in reduced arc energy in a fault during a trip over arc energy during a trip with such specified trip function, Examiner attests that the additional delay of the upstream circuit breaker is "additional" in relation to the delay of a separate circuit breaker downstream and aimed towards increasing the chances of clearing a fault, not towards maintenance settings. Furthermore, the reference does not teach increasing the delay of a circuit breaker in comparison to a specified trip function during maintenance. Thus, the reference does not teach away from the claims of the present application.

Regarding Applicant's argument that Applicant's invention would have been disclosed if it were obvious, and that no reference of record, including Stevenson et al. (US 6,777,627) and Garzon et al. (US 5,933,308), whether taken alone or in combination, teaches or suggests Applicant's invention, Examiner notes that Stevenson et al. and Garzon et al. mention the known dangers of arc energy exposure to operating and service personnel during maintenance, but do not disclose minimizing delay times because these references in particular do not concern adjusting delay times as a safety measure. For example, Garzon et al. uses diversion of current instead of adjusting delay time as a safety measure. Papallo et al. discloses the known dangers of arc energy exposure to operating and service personnel during maintenance, and further

Application/Control Number: 10/814,596

Art Unit: 2836

discloses that minimizing the delay of a circuit breaker results in reduced arc energy exposure to operating and service personnel during maintenance in paragraph 80, lines 13-16. From Papallo et al., one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would know that minimizing the delay of a circuit breaker would reduce arc energy exposure to operating and service personnel during maintenance, and thus be motivated to use the apparatus of Fitts et al., which has the capability of minimizing the delay of a circuit breaker, to reduce arc energy exposure to operating and service personnel during maintenance.

Regarding Applicant's argument pertaining to claim 15, the rejection of claim 15 is withdrawn and claim 15 has been indicated as allowable subject matter. See above.

Regarding Applicant's request for Examiner to cite a reference within the context of claim 19, see above rejection on claim 19.

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann T. Hoang, whose telephone number is 571-272-2724. The examiner can normally be reached Mondays through Fridays, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached at 571-272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ATH 10 July 2006

BRIAN SIRCUS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 16